

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/993,970	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MCGUINNESS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DANIEL G. MARIAM	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) DANIEL G. MARIAM.

(2) Jose Gutman.

**Date of Interview:** 10 April 2006

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 2:00 PM

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
102/103

Claims discussed:  
1, 9, and 17

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Morimura, et al. (US Patent No. 5,768,404)*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**DANIEL MIRIAM**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

---

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

---

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed issues pertinent to the above-identified claims in light of the newly surfaced prior art. Applicants' representative, namely (Jose Gutman) has agreed to amend the claims so as to avoid a rejection based on the reference to Morimura, et al (See the Examiner's Amendment for details).